G. R. S. 58]

*Previous references.*

1896 -97

~~191~~

( L . R )

File No. 3

Serial Nos. 1—10

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 1—10

Major ~~Part~~ B for December 1899

SUBJECT.

Grant of Service Inam land to Chakra of the Hoonsanpur village, Gundlupet Taluk.

Countermarked

AS

Indexed

Issued

Dewan's
SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

# REVENUE BRANCH.

## OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Inam land of the Chakra of the Hunasanpura village, Kalsoor hobli, Gundlupet Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE Letter No. ~~1060~~ 216 dated 4.9.99

from the Dy Commr, Mysore District

---

1. The Dy Commr of the Mysore District in his letter No. 1060 dated 6th January 1897 recommended the grant of a piece of dry land assessed at one "hana" to the Chakra of the Honsanpura village as Inam and stated as follows:—

"x x A piece of dry land assessed at one 'hana'

i.e. 4 annas and eight pies) was in the holding of the Chalua of the Hoon-sanpura village, Kelvoor hobli of the Gundlupete taluk. During the Survey Settlement the assessment of this land was raised to Rs 2-4-0. It bears Survey No. 2 and measures 4 acres and 2 guntas. The Chalua of that village complains of the enhanced assessment and prays for exemption from payment of the assessment. The Amildar reports that the Chaluagitti declines to perform her duties unless the land is granted to her as inam. It would also appear that the services of a Chalua are indispensable for the due performance of the police and revenue

work of the village and that there is no other person willing to take up the appointment. The Chattragitti on being ~~selected~~ asked to select a piece of waste land for being granted to her free of assessment pleads her inability to do so, as it would be impossible for her to prepare the land for cultivation. I beg therefore to recommend that the Government may be pleased to order the said land to be granted as inam. On enquiry during the current year's Jamabandi settlement, I learnt that several assessed waste numbers are situated further away from the village side so that the Chattragitti is unwilling,

take up any of them."

2. But as the Dy Commr did not submit the vernacular papers on the subject along with the above letter, the same were called for in this office docket No. 6267/2136 dated 15th January 1897. They were accordingly submitted by the Dy. Commr with his letter No. 1271 dated 13th February 1897.

3. The vernacular papers showed the facts of the case as follows:-

On the 13th September 1895, the Patel of Hunsanpura reported to the Police authorities that as there was no Chakra in the village, much inconvenience was caused to ~~Police~~ the discharge of Police and Revenue work; that the Baraward dar

× Serial No. 1.

Baravaraddar Chakra was a minor; that his mother and himself were not performing the duties of Chakra, as the Service Inam land which had been allotted to them was treated as Kandayem land, and that they were getting no "minasi" whatever from the ryots, and that they were willing to do the duty if a portion of the Kandayem imposed upon their land was exempted. The report of the Patel was forwarded to the Amildar. The Amildar enquired as to when and why was the Chakra Service Inam land treated as Government and whether the Hakdar Chakra was willing to resign his right to

× Serial No. 1.
No. 1731/228 dt 18.9.95

× Serial No. 2.
No. 35 dt 20.9.95.

to the service. The Sheikdar reported that previous to × Serial No. 2.
No. 104 dt 30. 11. 95
Survey Settlement the Chakra was paying a Kandayam of one "Kana" but during the Survey Settlement full assessment was imposed upon his land, that some ryots ~~were~~ agreed to pay miras and others disagreed, that the minor Chakra's mother Kali × stated that × Serial No. 3.
she could not get the duties of Chakra done as the Inam land was fully assessed and as it was difficult for her to maintain herself and that Govt work suffered much for want of a Chakra in the village. The Amildar then × enquired Serial No. 4.
No 71 dt 30. 11. 95
~~as to~~ what land the Chakra had and what Kandayam he was paying

paying and what had the Chakra to say if the land were taken from him for default of duty. The Sheikdar reported that the Chakra held Sur No 2, measuring $4^{A}-2^{G}$ and assessed at Rs 2-4-0; that the Chakraagitti stated that she would perform the duties only if the assessment was reduced and that no others in the village were willing to do the duties of a Chakra.

Serial No 4.
No 117 of 12.12.95.

4. In the meanwhile, the Chakraagitti put in a petition to the Genl Assistant Commissioner stating that she would attend to her duties only if the Kandayam imposed upon her land was reduced and not otherwise. The

Serial No 5.
8 Jan 1896.

Assistant Commissioner,
who was the Jamalandi
Officer, directed the Amil-
dar to choose some land
to be assigned as Inam.
The Amildar reported the
history of the case as
stated above and re-
commended that as no
other person was available
in the village for the
performance of the Chakra's
duties and as much
inconvenience was
caused to Govt. work,
~~that~~ the land in the
holding of the Chakragitti
might be allotted as
Inam. The Assistant
Commr. then enquired what
the population of the village
was and whether there
was a necessity for
having a Chakra there.

× Serial No. 5.
No. 4421 dt. 9.1.96.

× Serial No. 5.
No. 104/125 dt. 7.9.96.

× Serial No. 6.
No. 144 dt. 17.9.96.

× Serial No. 6.
No 127 of 21. 9. 96.

The Amildar reported that a Chakra was necessary and that the population of the village was 182 and the Jamabandi Rs 296-10-6. The Asst. Commr. then observed that it was not necessary to allot the land in her holding as Inam and directed the Amildar to select a portion of the waste land for the purpose. The Amildar reported that the Chakra-gitti was not willing to take up waste land on the ground that she could not afford to prepare it for cultivation as she was a woman. The Assistant Commr. then placed the case before the Dy Commr.,

× Serial No. 6.
No. ~~Hb~~ 145 of 24. 9. 96.

× Serial No. 6.
No. 143 of 3. 10. 96.

in his letter[x] No. 1060 dy 6th January 1877, referred the matter to Govt.

5. But the vernacular papers did not clearly show whether the Chakra was enjoying the land in question, which had been formerly assessed at one fanam, as a free-hold or whether he was paying the said assessment. Information on this point was called for in this office docket No. 7913/2657 dy 11. 3. 97 and the Dy Commr was also requested to report why the regular payment of minasi by the Ryots should not be enforced in the absence of the Inam.

[x] Vide para 1.

6. The Dy Commr now reports[x] as follows:—

[x] Letter No. 216 dy 4. 9. 99

x Serial No. 15

"It would appear that according to the Kulwar kuttuvali chitta of the village for the year Maanmatha (1835-36), x x the land in question measuring 5 Kalagas bore a beriz of 4 fanams of which 3 were remitted as Inam (Uttara) in favor of the Chakira, the remaining one fanam being levied from him as a Jodi but that these particulars were not properly entered in the subsequent accounts in which the land was shown as an ordinary Kandayem land assessed at one fanam equal to 4 annas and 8 pies. This coupled with an omission on the part of the Taluk and village officials to bring to notice at the

Settlement the fact that
the land was service
inam led to the same being
dealt with as a revenue
paying land and to the
full survey assessment
of Rs 2-4-0 being levied
thereon from the Chakra
from the date of the settle-
ment."

"Under such circumstances,
all that now seems called
for is an alteration of the
accounts so as to exhibit
the land (Survey No. 2
measuring $4\frac{A}{2}-\frac{G}{2}$ assessed
at Rs 2-4-0) as the Chakra
Service Inam land subject
to the payment of the
equivalent of one fanam
& manul Jodi remitting
the balance of assessment
in favor of the Inamdar
unless the Govt is pleased
to remit the Jodi amount

"and levy only the local cess on the full assessment."

7. Regarding the mirasi, it appears from the statement of the villagers, that they have been paying one Kolaga of Cholam per family of which there are 10 or 15 in the village, that the Chakragitti states that the miras is insufficient and that as in the case of other Chakkiyas she requests the grant of Inam land. I submitted for the opinion of the Supdt. of Rev. survey may if necessary be obtained and final orders passed.

25/10/99

Office Note Continued

(8) The opinion of the Superintendent of Revenue

x Serial No. 20

You have also asked for reasons of information as to why the land was finally accepted at the Settlement

26/10/99

Orders 26/10/99
Subs. with direction
on 27.10.99
DS.

Survey being called
as per foregoing order
in this office docket
No. 3263 / R 1039 dated 30th
October 1899, he reports + Survey Supt's No 336 R 10-11-99
as follows:—

1. that at the time of
measurement and
at Phut Pahani the
Survey No. in question
was entered as Jodi
inam.

2. But between the
Phut Pahani and
the preparation of
accounts for the Survey
Settlement the Taluk
authorities presumably
disallowed the inam
Claim and entered
the land in question
in the "Wasul Baki"
Settlement form as
Govt. land and on the
holder agreeing to
pay

Survey
pay full assessment

Mr. Nanabhai Rao who was the officer in charge of the Survey rates entered Survey No. 2 as fit for land.

(9) The Superintendent of Rev: Survey further adds that the omission to enter the Survey No in question in the Jodidar Takhta shows evident intention on the part of the Taluk officials to show the land as Sarkari and finally recommends that Survey No 2 in question (extent 4-2 assts Rs 2-4-0) should now be entered and treated as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner in para 3 of his letter No. 216 dated

the Chakra Service Inam
subject to the payment
of the equivalent of
1/8 annas as manual
jodi remitting the balance
of assessment in favor
of the Inamdar.

(10) In So* 8/14/1/94 (illegible)
the Govt declared their
intention "to grant Inams
for the village servants and
the maintenance of Pagodas
built" in newly formed villages
"on a moderate scale". This
order may also be held applicable
to existing villages in cases
where such Inams are wanting
In the present case the revenue
of the village is Rs 296 2 odd
and Cannot perhaps be deemed
"Considerable" But the Inam
is said to have been resumed
at the Survey Settlement under

* This is often printed at P/49 of "Revenue decision" Copy & now pasted 4/2/12/88

† "When the revenue derived from the Village is considerable"

a mistake of the taluk
authorities as well as of
the holder of the Inam at the
time; and the question is
whether the mistake may
not be rectified now.
Shirley settlement was introduced
into the taluk in 1891.
24/11/99

Submitted to 2nd Cr.

The Dy Comrs proposal
supported by the Survey
Supdt seems deserving
of favorable Consideration.

1-12-99

Rs 6.30 Rs.
4.12.99

Yes - Land might
declared Joodi
subject to the
payment of
assessment or
assessment
the Dy Comrs
can refund
an application
letter of 97 till
1899 Thus might
be treated as a
6/12/99 under this
4.12.99 must

Can not these
refunded
unanswered
yrs? What
remarks?

Officer
Have you
[illegible]
[illegible]

6/12/99

Subd Asst

Applications
let me have
explⁿ on the
question about ~~the~~
checking of unanswered
slips
11/12/99

N.B
Please give this to V.N.S Iyer
after issue

am
17/12

Read on 18/12/99
Explⁿ-Subo :- 19/12/99.

In explanation on the question about checking of unanswered ref. list- I beg to state the following:-

5 lists containing the unanswered references still pending the orders of Govt. are prepared by me. one of these containing ~~the~~ references ~~and~~ which have been submitted to the Govn as submitted the Govn. Another ~~sent~~ to the Rev. councillor in charg of the Eastern Dn: the third to the Second councillor the fourth to the Secretary & the fifth to the ~~Asst.~~ Asst. Secy in charge of the Rev. Branch

The last one after perusal is sent back to me with remarks, if any.

The unanswered reference lists submitted to this office by dy: comms: of Dts. & others are sent to me for being checked. After checking them I send ~~submit~~ them to the Asst. Secy: ~~&~~. No Consolidated unanswered reference lists are

forwarded from our office.

Generally reminders are issued from the Branch either by the reminding clerk or by the clerk who deals with the Labrees.

In this case particular case no reminders seem to have been issued by either of them.

19/12/99 K.J. Goshawk for

It seems that the unanswered rep are being prepared in the file I am advised from Q Cons but that no action is taken on Rhodes case in spite the delay in the

Q Cons 24/12/99

The list of unanswered replies be checked & periodical reminders issued by the Heads Concerned. Please note that all replies are to be

No. 1060
Enclosures No. —

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 6th January 1897.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1

Section R.R.
File No S-1896.
Serial No 1.
To

*The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
*Revenue and General Departments.*

SIR,

I have the honor to state that a piece of dry land assessed at one "hana" (i.e. 4 annas and eight pies) was in the holding of the Chakra of the Honsanpura village Kaloor hobli of the Gundlupete taluk. During the Survey settlement the assessment of this land was raised to Rupees 2-4-0. 4-years Survey No 2 and measures 4 Acres and 2 Guntas. The Chakra of that Village Complains of the enhanced assessment and prays for exemption from payment of the assessment. The Amildar reports that the Chakragitte declines to perform

her

her duties unless the land is granted to her as inam. It would also appear that the services of a Chakra are indispensable for the due performance of the police and revenue work of the village and that there is no other person willing to take up the appointment. The Chakragitti being asked to select a piece of waste land for being granted to her free of assessment pleads her inability to do so as it would be impossible for her to prepare the land for cultivation. I beg therefore to recommend that the Government may be pleased to order the said land to be granted as inam. On enquiry during the current year's Jamabandi settlement I learnt that several assessed waste numbers are situated

further

further away from the village and that the Chakragitte is unwilling to take up any one of them.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt Servant,
Shamrao
for Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

comp.
HLH

4

Call for the vernacular papers - G.O.

11-1-97

Drafted. 89 14/1/97

1896 G.O. 4498
R. No. 3235
8 JAN. 1897
DEWAN'S OFFICE

*From*

*The Deputy Commissioner*
*of the Mysore District.*

*To*

*The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
*Revenue and General Departments.*

*Dated* 6th
*Despatched* } January 1897.
*Received*

**Enclosures No. —**

**No.** 1060

Recommending the grant of a piece of dry land assessed at one "hana" to the Chakra at the Bommenpura Village Kaleoor Hobli of the Sindhuphalli taluk as Inam.

Rev
8-1-97

Submitted to secretary for Orders -

The D.C. recommends the grant of a fresh inam the ver. papers have not been subd. - 8/1/97

5 Draft as per orders

(2)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

To.

The Dy Commr
Mysore District.

Section L.R.
File No 3-1896.
Serial No 2.
Dated 15-1-97

16/1/97
No 6267
R. 2136.

Dy Commr's letter No 1060 of 6th January 1897 recommending the grant of a fresh Inam for the Service of the Chaknagitti of the Hoonsanpura village, Gundlupet Taluk.

Has the honor to request that the connected vernacular papers may be submitted for reference.

"By Order

15/1/97

Secretary

By
14/1/97

15/1/97

1896. 97 / 5403
L 3868

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

(3)

Section LR
File No 3- 1896
Serial No 3

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 13th
Despatched } February 1897
Received

Enclosures No. records

No. 1271

Submits Veeraiah's papers connected with the grant of fresh inam land for the Chakra Seivai of the Hanumapura Village in the Nundidrug taluk

Rev 15/2/97

Ref
M 5/2

Reference put up. No answer. 23
M.S. 17/2/97. Sub Draft 18/2/97 Despatched.
6/3/97 27/2

No. 127/

Enclosures No. record

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 13th February 1897.

To

*The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
*Revenue and General Departments.*

SIR,

As required in your docket No. 6267/R. 2136 dated the 15th January 1897, I have the honor to submit herewith the Vernacular papers Connected with the grant of fresh inam lands for the Chakra Service of the Hunsundpura Village in the Gundulpet taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obed.t Serv.t

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore district

Compd.
ulg

1897

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Rev. & Gen. Departments.

| | |
|---|---|
| Section | LR |
| File No | 3-1896 |
| Serial No | 6 |

To

The Deputy Comr,
Mysore dist.

Dated ~~Febuary~~ 11-3-97

Despatched 13

Received

General No. 7913

R. No. 2657.

Enclosure No.

His Lr 1271 8/13th Instant, submitting vernacular papers connected with the proposed grant of Inam land for the Chakra service of Hunsanpura village, Gundlupet Taluk.

Has the honor to observe that the ~~xxxxx~~ vernacular records received with the above do not clearly show whether the chakra in question was enjoying the land, survey No 2, which had been formerly assessed at 1 fanam, as a free-hold, or whether he was paying this assessment. If he was paying the assessment of 1 fanam, there seems to be no reason for assigning the land ~~for~~ now ~~as~~ or a free tenure. Further information on this point is awaited. It should also be reported By Order
Why the regular payment of Mirasi by the Ryots should not be enforced during absence of the Inam. 11/3/97

~~Secretary~~

18/3/97

21/3/97

9

1898 5011 R 3026

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

5

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Section L R
File No 3-1896.
Serial No 5 ✓

Dated 8th
Despatched } January 1898
Received

General No. 794
No.

Enclosure No. —

Requests to return the Vernacular papers connected with the proposed grant of Inam land to the Charak service of Hunsanapur village of Gonoorepet taluq.

Returned on 12-1-98
Rev

Sent with refd & enclt
Dy
19/1.

No. 794
Enclosure No. —

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore January 8 1898.

To

*The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
*Revenue and General Departments.*

SIR,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to order the return of the Vernacular papers connected with the proposed grant of Inam land for the Chakra Service of Hunsanapur Village of the Goundlepet taloo K. Submitted with my letter No. 1271, dated 13th February 1897, as the same is required for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obed.t Servt.

E Mackenzie
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Comps.
HEM

Draft (6)

To

The Deputy Commr
Mysore District

Section. L.R.
File No. 3-16 P.6
Serial No. V 6

No. 6928 In V.P.
R. 2260

Date. 26th January 1898.

26

His letter No. 794 dated 8th instant.

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith the file of Vernacular papers connected with the proposed grant of Inam land for the Chakra Service of Hunsenapur village Gundlupet Taluk received with his letter No. 1271 dated 13th February 1897 and to request that the further info called for in × By order

21/1/98

Under Secy

19/1/98

× This Offn no: 7913/265-7 dated 11.3.97 may be furnished at an early date

1899 — 900. 1635
P. I.
1848

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Section a. b.
File No 3-1895
Serial No 7

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 4.
Despatched 6 } September 1899.
Received

Enclosures No. 1 Encl & V. pp

General No. 216.

Furnishing further information in regard to the proposed grant of Inamlands for chakra service in Hunsanpura village, Gundalpet Taluk.

8.9.99

Subd
Refer herewith.
HS
13/9/99.

Office note subd.
23-10-99.

No. 216

Enclosures No. 1 file of ver.-pp.

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 4th September 1899

To

*The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
*General and Revenue Departments.*

SIR,

Adverting to your docket No. 7913/R.2657, dated 11th March 1897, calling for further information in regard to the proposed grant of Inam land for chakra service in Hunsanpura village, Gundalpet Taluk, I have the honor to submit the accompanying file of records ending with the Amildar's urzi No. 94, dated 12th August 1899, on the subject.

2. It would appear that according to the Kalvar huttuvali chitta of the village for the year manmatha (1835-36) attached to the enclosed file, the land in question measuring 5 Kalagas bore a beriz of 4 fanams of which 3 were remitted as Inam (Kattara) in favor of the chakra, the remaining one fanam being levied from him as a jodi, but that these particulars were not properly entered in the subsequent accounts in which the land was shown as an ordinary Kandayam land assessed at one fanam equal to 4 annas and 8 pies. This coupled with an omission on the part of the Taluk

and

and village officials to bring to notice at the Survey Settle-
:ment the fact that the land was service inam led to
the same being dealt with as a revenue paying land
and to the full survey assessment of ~~Rs~~. 2. 4. 0 being
levied thereon from the chakra from the date of the
Settlement.

3. Under these circumstances, all that now seems
called for is an alteration of the accounts so as to
exhibit the land (Survey No. 2 measuring A. G. 4. 2 assess-
at Rupees 2. 4. 0) as the Chakra Service Inam sub-
:ject to the payment of the equivalent of one fanam
as mamul Jodi remitting the balance of assess-
:ment in favor of the Inamdar, unless the Govern-
:ment is pleased to remit the Jodi amount and
levy only the local cess on the full assessment.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed. Servant

Deputy Commissioner of the
Mysore District.

Bangalore.

1635
1898

To

The Superintendent of
Revenue Survey in Mysore

(8)




No 30-10-99
50 No 3263 & N.P.
Date R. 1039

---

In forwarding herewith for favor of opinion and return, letter No. 216 dated the 4th September 1899, together with enclosures, from the Deputy Comr., Mysore District, recommending the grant as Inam of a piece of dry land (Sur: No 2 measuring A 9 - 2 and assessed at Rs 2-4-0) to the Chakra of the Hunsan-pura Village, Gundlupet Taluk, subject to the payment of the equivalent of one Fanam as Manual Jodi remitting the balance

of assessment in favor of the

Inamdar &c, has the honor

to request the favor of being

informed ~~as to~~ why the land

which was a service Inam

in question was fully assessed

~~was assessed~~ at the Survey

~~Sett~~ Settlement.

By Order

27/10/99

For Secretary.

17

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3079
NOV 13 899
R 1622
OFFICE

1899

1) Sample to be made
2) Receipt to be sent

by 20/11/99

+ Attended to

Sec. 23/11/99

Sub Secretary with Minute

by 24/11/99

Section LR
File No 2-1895
To
Serial No 9

From The Supt Mysore Rev Survey

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Gen & Rev Dept.

Dated 10th November 99

Despatched

Received

General No. 336
No.

Enclosure No. Ver. papers.

In reply to his 3263/1039 of 30th Oct 99.

SUBJECT

Reporting upon the grant of certain Inam lands for Chakra service in Hirisampur village Gundlupet Taluk

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office)

Rec
12
13-11-99
Before put up
15/11/99

No 336
Enclosures

Survey Superintendents Office
Bangalore 10th November
1899

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Gen and Rev. Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor,
in reply to your letter No —
3263/1039 dated 30th Ultimo —,
enquiring why the land,
comprised in Survey No 2,
Hunsanpura village Gundlu-
pet Taluk, was fully assessed
at the Survey Settlement,
to state that on examination
of the papers leading up

to the Survey Settlement shows.-

1. That at time of measurement the Survey number in question was entered as Jodi Inam.

2 That at time of Phut Pahani it was also entered as Jodi Inam.

3. But between Phut Pahani and the preparation of the accounts for the Survey Settlement the Talik Authorities presumably disallowed the Inam claim and entered the

the land in question
in the "Wasul Baki"
Settlement form as
Government land -
and on the holder
agreeing to pay full
Survey assessment -
Mr Shyamrai Row,
who was the Officer
announcing the -
Survey rates, entered
Survey No 2 accordingly.

4 The omission to
enter the Survey No
in question in the
"Jodidar tahkta" -,
shows evident intent-
-ion on the part of
the Taluk Officials -

to

to show the land as Sirkari.

2 Survey No 2 should now be entered and treated as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner at Para 3 of his letter No 216 dated 4th September 1899.

3. Correspondence herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Supdt Mysore Rev Survey.

22

(10).

Read:

Section L.R.
File No 3-1896
Serial No 10.

Correspondence ending with letter No. 216 dated 4th September 1899, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in re the ~~proposed~~ grant of Inam land for Chabra Service in the Hunsapura village, Kalsoor hobli. Gundlupet Taluk.

Also Correspondence ending with letter No 336 dt 10th November 1899, from the Superintendent of Rev Survey in Mysore on the above subject.

Order thereon.

The facts of the case are:

A piece of dry land Inaji No 2 measuring 3 Kola-gas ~~assessed at one~~ ~~Rupee i.e. 4 annas and~~

No 4280-82 & 13/12/99
R-7-17-99 13

enjoyed as Service inam
by the Chakra of the
Hunsapura Village, Kal
-lur Hobli, Gundlupet
Taluk, subject to the
payment of a jodi
of one fanam. During
the Survey Settlement in 1891
~~the assessment of the~~
~~land to assessed to~~
~~Rs 2-4-~~ it was formed
into Survey No 2 measuring
4-2 and assessed at
Rs 2-4-. The Bara
-vardar Chakra being
a minor his mother
the Chakragitti Com-
-plaining of the ~~enhanced~~ improper
assessment ~~levied~~ on
the Service inam land
declined to perform
her duties unless the land
was granted to her as
inam. Thereupon the

the Chakkiliyan was asked to select a piece of waste land for being granted to her as Inam ~~free of assessment~~ but she declined to take up any of the waste lands pleading her inability to prepare such lands for cultivation.

2. The Amildar reported that no other person was available in the village for performing the Chakkiliyan's duties and Consequently much inconvenience had been caused to Government work, and therefore recommended the land in the holding of the Chakkiliyan being allotted as inam.

3. The Deputy Commr. in upholding the Amildar's recommendation

that what now required to be done is, an alteration of the accounts so as to exhibit the land (Survey No 2, extent 4-2-0 assessment Rs 2-4) as the Chakra Service inam land subject to the payment of the equivalent of one fanam as inamdar jodi permitting the balance of assessment in favor of the inamdar.

4. The Superintendent of Rev: Survey agrees to the Deputy Commissioner's proposal.

5. Under the foregoing circumstances, Government are pleased to direct that Survey No 2,

measuring

measuring 4 acres and 2 guntas and assessed at Rs 2-4-0 in the Hun — Sapura Village, Kalsoor hobli, Gundlupet Taluk, be treated as Chakra Service inam land subject to the payment of a jodi of ~~one fanam or its equivalent~~ 4-8 as.

6. It is pointed out to the Deputy Commr. that there has been ~~a very long~~ a delay of more than 2 days in replying to Government order No 7913/R2657 dated 11th March 1897.

9-12-97

Secy

To The Dy Commr Mysore Dist
ret the Ver: papers.
The Supt. Rev: Survey,
Instl. & in Mysore Settlement

8/12/97